



Statistics - Investment in the Future 2 14-15 September 2009 Congress Centre of the Czech National Bank, Prague

Assessing the Impact of ICT Use on PISA Scores

Vincenzo Spiezia

Head of ICT Unit, Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry – OECD

vincenzo.spiezia@oecd.org
www.oecd.org/sti/measuring-infoeconomy/guide



Outline

- Why is it difficult to measure ICT impact?
- What explains computer use?
- What explains student performance?
- ICT and performance: does it make a difference?
- What does it mean for policy?

OECD

- 1.Group students by ICT use, eg: users/non-users
 2.Compare performance between users/non-users
 3.If performance users > non-user = ICT improve performance
 - 2 problems:
- "Good" students use ICT more ⇒ ICT is a proxy for performance

Previous studies control for this and find no effect of ICT

• Students are different \Rightarrow benefits from ICT are different



Self-selection model

- 1. What characteristics explain computer use, eg: Household income
- 2. "Average" characteristics for each frequency of ICT use, eg:

Once a month: average income = $500 \in$;

Once a week: average income =1000€ ; etc.

3. Effect of ICT use on student performance for the "average" user, eg:

ICT once a month for student with income = 500; ICT once a week for student with income=1000; etc.

4. Effect of ICT use on student performance for the "marginal" user, eg:

ICT once a week for student with income = $500 \in$



What explains computer use (1/2)?

- *2 Places*:
 - Home;
 - School

• 5 frequencies of computer use:

- Never
- Once a month or less
- A few times a month
- Once or twice a week
- Almost every day
- Same model (*Probit*) in each of 23 OECD+10 partners
- All statistical tests are very good
- All variables have the expected sign



What explains computer use? (2/2)

Household characteristics

- the wealth of the student's family(+);
- the educational resources available at home (+);

Parents' characteristics

- the occupation of his/her parents (skills +);

Student's characteristics

- his/her immigration status (migrants +);
- his/her gender (male +);

School characteristics

- the number of teachers per student (+);
- the quality of educational resources (+);
- the size of the school (+);

ICT access in school

- the number of computers per student at school (+);
- the percentage of school computers connected to the Internet (+).

What explains student performance? (1/2)

- Science scores in 23 OECD + 10 partners
- Same model (*OLS*) in each country
- 81 replications x 5 plausible science scores (405 runs)
- All relevant PISA variables based on previous studies
- We dropped variables that were not statistically significant one at the time...
- ...starting with the less significant one
- All statistical tests are very good
- All variables have the expected sign

What explains student performance? (2/2)

Students' characteristics

- Gender (male +);
- Immigration status (migrant +);
- Interest in science (+);
- Motivation to continue learning about science (+);

Parents' characteristics

- Science-related carrier (+);
- Educational attainments (+);
- Occupation (+);

Household characteristics

- Home possession (+);
- Educational resources (+);
- Number of books at home (over 100 +);

School characteristics

- Number of teachers per student (+);
- Size of the school (+);
- Quality of educational resources (+);

Frequency of computer use

- Associated to the "average" level of students' capital (+);
- Associated to the "marginal" level of students' capital (-).



ICT benefits depend on the student's "capital"













What does it mean for policy?

• ICT benefits depend on the characteristics of each student

 \Rightarrow policies to increase computer use need to be tailored on students. *The present analysis provides a tool*

• ICT benefits are largest if students have the right skills, interests and attitudes

⇒ Policy should help to develop complementary skills, interests and attitudes

• Should educational policy invest more on ICT at home than at school?