### Human capital, Information Technology and Productivity

or The role played by IT intensive human capital in firm productivity

> Eva Hagsten Statistics Sweden

Investments in the Future 2 International Statistical Conference, Prague 14-15 September 2009



# Results in brief

Overview

Improvements in level of skilled human capital boost firm productivity in FIN, SWE and NOR

Effects strongest on total factor productivity

Type of human capital (IT intensive) important for labour productivity

Effects from human capital larger than from dissemination

Background

Purpose and expectations

Data availability

Methodology

Results

Conclusions



### Background

IT may impact firm productivity through

the inputs in real capital, human capital or intermediates

the dissemination of IT

the organisation and technology readiness

Few studies on productivity effects from real human capital





Draca et al (2006), IT affects productivity

Doms et al (1997), and Galindo-Rueda and Haskel (2005), Relationship high skills, IT, high wages, high productivity et cetera

Ilmakunnas and Maliranta (2005), Gunnarsson et al (2001, 2004) and Iranzo (2006), Type of education important for productivity, certain degree of indirect effect

Rao et al (2002), High level of university graduates positively related to productivity

Abramovsky and Griffith (2005), Organisation and IT, Europe slow in uptake



## Purpose and expectations

Describe firm human capital characteristics Firms high in IT also high in skilled human capital, wages and productivity

Study impacts from real IT intensive human capital on productivity Productivity bonuses from IT intensive human capital Possibly indirect effects through IT usage

Compare effects from real human capital with alternative proxy wages Wages suitable proxy for human capital

for Finland, Norway and Sweden



### Data availability

Cross country dataset from Eurostat ICT Impacts Project based on information from:

> Production Surveys Business registers Firm ICT usage surveys Education registers



# Methodology

**Descriptive analysis** 

**Regression analysis** 

Ordinary Least Squares production function estimations on pooled and unbalanced panels of firms 2001-2005 (Finland -2004)

Regressions programmed within a common code on groups of industries in each country

Dependent variables Labour productivity (LP) Total Factor Productivity (TFP)

Firm ICT usage the least common denominator in the Eurostat ICT impacts project



Statistiska centralbyrån Statistics Sweden



Hk Hkitpct Hknitpct K W	Firm share of employees with all kinds of post upper secondary education Firm share of employees with post upper secondary IT intensive education Firm share of employees with general post upper secondary education Capital Wages, alternative human capital variable
Age Age2	Firm age Firm age squared, non-linearity
DSLpct ECpct	Firm share of employees with access to fast broadband Firm share of selling and buying over the Internet

HkdslInteraction between skilled human capital and broadband shareWdslInteraction between wages and broadband share

Dummy variables controlling for time, industry, size and affiliation Outlier control of extreme values



# Results (descriptive)

2005	Finland	Norway	Sweden		
Proportion of employees	All firms	70	60	66	
with PC access %	Services	76	68	73	
	Manufacturing	56	51	60	
Proportion of employees	All firms	62	54	55	
with fast broadband access	Services	66	62	64	
%	Manufacturing	43	46	43	

2005		Finland*	Norway	Sweden
Proportion of employees with	All firms	10	17	4
IT intensive post upper	Services	11	19	5
secondary education %	Manufacturing	11	15	4
Proportion of employees with	All firms	17	6	11
general post upper secondary	Services	22	7	11
education %	Manufacturing	10	4	10

\*Skills data for Finland refer to 2004 Sources: Statistics Finland, Statistics Norway and Statistics Sweden



### Results

#### IT intensive human capital on productivity

LnLpv	FIN* 1	3	SWE 1	3	NOR 1	3
Hkitpct	0.767	0.766	0.127	0.262	0.454	0.749
	(0.014)***	(0.039)***	(0.006)***	(0.045)***	(0.001)***	(0.137)***
Hknitpct	0.596	0.610	0.118	0.217	0.111	0.190
	(0.011)***	(0.038)***	(0.004)***	(0.036)***	(0.021)***	(0.238)
DSLpct		0.085		0.194		0.255
		(0.018)***		(0.014)***		(0.064)***
R-squared	0.21	0.27	0.14	0.28	0.27	0.43
Observations	59635	7746	776737	11398	38491	663
LnTfp	FIN* 1	3	SWE 1	3	NOR 1	3
LnTfp Hkitpct	FIN* 1 1.095	<b>3</b>	<b>SWE</b> 1 0.279	<b>3</b> 0.253	NOR 1 0.535	<b>3</b> 0.881
LnTfp Hkitpct	FIN* 1 1.095 (0.028)***	<b>3</b> 1.034 (0.089)***	<b>SWE</b> 1 0.279 (0.007)***	<b>3</b> 0.253 (0.056)***	NOR 1 0.535 (0.014)***	<b>3</b> 0.881 (0.140)***
LnTfp Hkitpct Hknitpct	FIN* 1 1.095 (0.028)*** 1.110	<b>3</b> 1.034 (0.089)*** 1.027	SWE   1     0.279   (0.007)***     0.234   0.234	<b>3</b> 0.253 (0.056)*** 0.289	NOR   1     0.535   (0.014)***     0.194	<b>3</b> 0.881 (0.140)*** 0.334
LnTfp Hkitpct Hknitpct	FIN*   1     1.095   (0.028)***     1.110   (0.023)***	<b>3</b> 1.034 (0.089)*** 1.027 (0.087)***	SWE   1     0.279   (0.007)***     0.234   (0.005)***	3 0.253 (0.056)*** 0.289 (0.045)***	NOR   1     0.535   (0.014)***     0.194   (0.021)***	3 0.881 (0.140)*** 0.334 (0.244)
LnTfp Hkitpct Hknitpct DSLpct	FIN* 1 1.095 (0.028)*** 1.110 (0.023)***	<b>3</b> 1.034 (0.089)*** 1.027 (0.087)*** 0.238	SWE   1     0.279     (0.007)***     0.234     (0.005)***	3 0.253 (0.056)*** 0.289 (0.045)*** 0.316	NOR   1     0.535   (0.014)***     0.194   (0.021)***	<b>3</b> 0.881 (0.140)*** 0.334 (0.244) 0.249
LnTfp Hkitpct Hknitpct DSLpct	FIN* 1 1.095 (0.028)*** 1.110 (0.023)***	<b>3</b> 1.034 (0.089)*** 1.027 (0.087)*** 0.238 (0.041)***	SWE   1     0.279   (0.007)***     0.234   (0.005)***	3 0.253 (0.056)*** 0.289 (0.045)*** 0.316 (0.018)***	NOR   1     0.535   (0.014)***     0.194   (0.021)***	<b>3</b> 0.881 (0.140)*** 0.334 (0.244) 0.249 (0.066)***
LnTfp Hkitpct Hknitpct DSLpct R-squared	FIN* 1 1.095 (0.028)*** 1.110 (0.023)*** 0.63	<b>3</b> 1.034 (0.089)*** 1.027 (0.087)*** 0.238 (0.041)*** 0.58	SWE 1   0.279   (0.007)***   0.234   (0.005)***	3 0.253 (0.056)*** 0.289 (0.045)*** 0.316 (0.018)***	NOR   1     0.535   (0.014)***     0.194   (0.021)***	<b>3</b> 0.881 (0.140)*** 0.334 (0.244) 0.249 (0.066)*** 0.61

1= Exhaustive dataset , 3= firm ICT Use survey linked to Production Survey



Sources: Statistics Finland, Statistics Sweden and Statistics Norway

Statistiska centralbyrån Statistics Sweden

### Results

#### Skilled human capital interacted with firm IT use

		LnLpv		LnTfp			
Variable	FIN*	SWE	NOR	FIN*	SWE	NOR	
Lnkl				-0.383	-0.219	-0.249	
				(0.008)***	(0.004)***	(0.005)***	
Hkpct	0.712	0.116	0.932	1.129	-0.010	0.905	
	(0.050)***	(0.052)*	(0.086)***	(0.109)***	(0.065)	(0.090)***	
Dslpct	0.099	0.175	0.171	0.308	0.277	0.126	
	(0.026)***	(0.016)***	(0.028)***	(0.056)***	(0.019)***	(0.030)***	
Hkdsl	-0.047	0.196	-0.138	-0.188	0.449	0.047	
	(0.064)	(0.068)**	(0.100)	(0.140)	(0.085)***	(0.105)	
R-squared	0.26	0.27	0.37	0.60	0.76	0.63	
Observations	8791	11685	5139	8790	11684	5138	

Sources: Statistics Finland, Statistics Sweden and Statistics Norway



### Results

#### IT intensive human capital on productivity

Improvements in level of IT intensive human capital boost firm productivity in FIN, SWE and NOR (FIN the most)

Effects strongest on total factor productivity, from all types of skilled human capital (except NOR , only IT intensive)

Type of human capital (IT intensive) crucial for labour productivity

Differences among industries more marked than among countries, services firms generally gain more than manufacturers

Effects from human capital larger than from firm IT usage

SWE only country where IT use interacts with skilled human capital



# Results Wages on productivity

		LnLpv			LnTfp	
Equation 3	Lnw	Hkitpct	Hknitpct	Lnw	Hkitpct	Hknitpct
FIN	0.943	0.766	0.610	0.923	1.034	1.027
	(0.015)***	(0.039)***	(0.038)***	(0.039)***	(0.089)***	(0.087)***
NOR	0.965	0.749	0.190	1.019	0.881	0.334
	(0.084)***	(0.137)***	(0.238)	(0.104)***	(0.140)***	(0.244)
SWE	1.000	0.262	0.217	1.043	0.253	0.289
	(0.014)***	(0.045)***	(0.036)***	(0.019)***	(0.056)***	(0.045)***

	LnLpv				LnTfp			
Equation 4	Lnw	Hk	Lnwdsl	Hkdls	Lnw	Hk	Lnwdsl	Hkdls
FIN	0.983	0.712	-0.083	-0.047	0.917	1.129	-0.010	-0.188
	(0.023)***	(0.050)***	(0.032)**	(0.064)	(0.055)***	(0.109)***	(0.075)	(0.140)
NOR	1.054	0.932	-0.067	-0.138	0.929	0.905	0.021	0.047
	(0.023)***	(0.086)***	(0.030)**	(0.100)	(0.027)***	(0.090)***	(0.035)	(0.105)
SWE	0.998	0.116	0.008	0.196	0.983	-0.010	0.126	0.449
	(0.021)***	(0.052)*	(0.030)	(0.068)**	(0.028)***	(0.065)	(0.041)***	(0.085)***

Source: Statistics Finland, Statistics Norway and Statistics Sweden



## Results Wages on productivity

Wages differ in estimates from real human capital (higher, lower...)

Variation among types of productivity less obvious

FIN and NOR now affected by interaction, negatively, SWE not significant



### Conclusions

Firms high in IT also high in skilled human capital, wages and productivity

- Productivity bonuses from IT intensive human capital, differences larger among firms than countries
- Type of human capital (IT intensive) crucial for labour productivity, less so for total factor productivity

Services firms gain more

- SWE surprisingly only country where IT operates through skilled human capital
- Results may stress differences in industry structure and organisational readiness
- Wages dubious proxy for human capital, estimates deviate from real human capital in a non systematic way
- Employer-employee dataset including educational achievement desirable

